Intra-aortic balloon counterpulsation and infarct size in patients with acute anterior myocardial infarction without shock: The CRISP AMI Randomized Trial



Manesh R. Patel, MD, Richard W. Smalling, MD, PhD, Holger Thiele, Prof Dr med, Huiman X. Barnhart, PhD, Yi Zhou, PhD, Praveen Chandra, MD, Derek Chew, MD, Marc Cohen, MD, John French, MB CHB, PhD, Divaka Perera, MD,E. Magnus Ohman, MD European Society of Cardiology – Hotline Presentation August 30th 2011

Duke Clinical Research Institute



Background



- Despite improvements in STEMI care
 The 6 month mortality remains high ~10%¹
- Intra-aortic balloon counterpulsation

 - ↓Simultaneously decrease afterload and left ventricular end diastolic pressure (LVEDP) - both work to decrease oxygen consumption
 - Decreases infarct expansion when placed prior to reperfusion in animal studies ^{2,3}

¹Heart disease and stroke statistics--2009 update. Circulation 2009;119:e21-181. ²LeDoux JF et. al.. Catheterization & Cardiovascular Interventions 2008;72:513-21. ³Azevedo CF et. al. European Heart Journal 2005;26:1235-41.



To determine whether routine initiation of intraaortic balloon counterpulsation (IABC) before mechanical reperfusion compared to standard of care (SOC) primary PCI decreases infarct size in patients with anterior ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction (STEMI) without cardiogenic shock

Study Design



Anterior STEMI without Shock

 Inclusion Criteria
 Anterior STEMI

 2 mm in 2 contiguous leads or at least 4 mm in the anterior leads

 Planned Primary PCI within 6 hrs

 Adult able to consent

Intra-aortic Balloon Counterpulsation prior to PCI

At least 12 hours of IABC post PCI

Randomize Open Label (n ~ 300)

Standard of Care Primary PCI

Routine Post PCI care

Cardiac MRI performed day 3-5 post PCI

Primary Endpoint: Infarct Size on CMR

- 1. All Patients with CMR data
- 2. Patients with Prox LAD occlusion TIMI 0/1 flow

Clinical Events – 6 months

<u>clinicaltrials.gov</u> as # NCT00833612. Also at <u>controlled-trials.com</u> #ISRCTN89012474



- Known Contraindication to MRI
- Prior Thrombolytic Therapy for STEMI
- Cardiogenic Shock
- Prior MI, CABG, or ESRD
- Contraindications to IABC
 - Known Severe AI, AAA, or severe peripheral artery disease
 - ->400 lbs of < 4 feet

Statistical Methodology



Sample Size

- Estimated Infarct size
 - All patients (25.3 -26.6% LV)^{1,2} and (19.9 28.8% LV)^{1,2} prox. LAD TIMI 0/1
- 25% reduction (270 patients) 10% CMR data missing
- >80% power, Type 1 error 0.025 (2-sided)
- ~ 300 patients
- Primary Endpoint Evaluation: Infarct Size on CMR
 - Modified ITT all patients with CMR data
 - All CMR patients with proximal LAD occlusion TIMI 0/1
- Primary Safety Evaluation: Major vascular complications and Major bleeding
- **Clinical Outcomes:** 6-month rate all cause mortality, MACE

¹ Patel et al. Jacc: Cardiovascular Imaging 2010;3:52-60 ² Thiele et al. Circulation 2008 Jul 1;118(1):49-57 Epub 2008 Jun 16

CMR Protocol





Enrollment 9 countries, 30 sites, 337 patients





Study Conduct



		Rando N=3	mized* 337	
		IABC N=161	SOC N=176	
Received intervention		153 (95.03%)	161 (91.48%)	
Withdrew	4 2		2	
Lost to follow-up	Crossing over to IABC Sustained hypotension/Cardiogenic shock To prevent event post vessel dissection Failed PCI of IR vessel Continued chest pain			15 12
MRI not performed Died				1 1
Unstable				1
Metallic contraindica	ation	3	1	
Unable to tolerate	11 18		18	
Other	6 0		0	
MRI performed, not evaluable		5	7	

Baseline Demographics



	All (N=337)	IABC (N=161)	SOC (N=176)
Age, median (25th, 75th), yrs	56.6 (48.4, 65.6)	56.1 (48.3, 64.3)	57.7 (48.6, 66.4)
Male, %	81.9	82.0	81.8
Race, %			
White	47.8	50.3	45.5
Asian	45.1	46.6	43.8
Black or African American	4.7	1.9	7.4
Other	2.1	1.2	2.8
Medical history, %			
Hypertension on drug tx.	29.4	24.2	34.1
Current nicotine use	31.8	33.1	30.7
Dyslipidemia on drug tx.	12.5	12.5	12.5
Diabetes mellitus	18.7	16.8	20.5

Baseline Demographics (cont.)



	All (N=337)	IABC (N=161)	SOC (N=176)
SBP, median (25th, 75th), mm Hg	131.0 (118.0, 150.0)	130.0 (113.0, 150.0)	135.0 (120.0, 151.0)
DBP, median (25th, 75th), mm Hg	80.0 (70.0, 92.0)	80.0 (70.0, 92.0)	80.0 (71.5, 92.0)
HR, median (25th, 75th), bpm	81.0 (71.0, 94.0)	81.0 (71.0, 93.0)	80.0 (70.0, 94.0)
ST ↑ in anterior leads, no. (%)			
0–<2 mm	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)
2–<4 mm	1 (0.3)	0 (0.0)	1 (0.6)
4–<6 mm	135 (40.1)	61 (37.9)	74 (42.0)
≥6 mm	201 (59.6)	100 (62.1)	101 (57.4)

PCI Procedure



	All N=337	IABC N=161	SOC N=176
PCI			
PCI performed, %	94.3	96.3	92.6
Infarct-related artery			
Left anterior descending, %	97.6	99.4	96.0
Infarct-related artery stenosis location			
Proximal, %	62.9	64.8	61.2
Infarct-related artery TIMI flow pre-intervention	on		
Grade 0, %	65.3	66.0	64.7
Grade 1, %	10.3	11.3	9.4
Infarct-related artery final TIMI flow post-inter			
Grade 3, %	94.2	92.9	95.3

Time to Treatment





Primary outcome



	All (N=337)	IABC (N=161)	SOC (N=176)	P Value
Primary endpoint				
Infarct size (% LV), modified ITT all patients with CMR data				
Ν	275	133	142	
Mean	39.8	42.1	37.5	
Median	38.8	42.8	36.2	
Infarct size (% LV), modified ITT patients prox. LAD and TIMI flow 0/1				
Ν	192	93	99	
Mean	44.4	46.7	42.3	
Median	42.1	45.1	38.6	

Co-primary endpoint: 2-sided p=0.025

30-day Clinical Events



	IABC (N=161)	SOC (N=176)	P Value
Death, %	1.9*	4.0*	0.26*
Stroke, %	1.9	0.6	0.35
Major bleed per GUSTO 1 definition or transfusion, %	3.1	1.7	0.49
Vascular complications, (n) %	7(4.3)	2 (1.1)	0.09
Major limb ischemia requiring operative intervention (n)	0	0	
Distal embolization (n)	0	0	
Major dissection (n)	2	0	
Pseudoaneurysm or AV fistula (n)	3	2	
Hematoma >5 cm (n)	3	0	

*From KM curves and log-rank test.

All Cause Death – 6 months





*From KM curves and log-rank test. [†]Exploratory analysis.

Conclusion



Among Patients with Acute Anterior STEMI without cardiogenic shock use of Intra-aortic counterpulsation prior to PCI compared to standard of care PCI:

1.Does not reduce infarct size2.All cause mortality at 6 months was not different3.Exploratory composite clinical endpoint favored of IABC

Lessons for Current and Future Care



- These findings do not support the <u>routine</u> use of IABC prior to PCI in Anterior STEMI patients without cardiogenic shock,
- Clinicians should continue to be vigilant about identifying patients who are at risk for rapid deterioration or hypotension that may benefit from support, as seen with the cross-over in this trial (8.5%)
- Acute STEMI studies are feasible without significant increases in door-to-device times

Acknowledgements



CRISP Steering Committee

Manesh R. Patel, Holger Thiele, Richard W. Smalling, Praveen Chandra, Marc Cohen, Divaka Perera, Derek Chew, John French, E. Magnus Ohman

CRISP AMI investigators

Sreenivas Kumar A., Singh, Blaxill, Pijls, Mills, Thomas, Henriksen, Smalling, Passey, Bashir, McCann, Weintraub, Cohen, Vranckx, Thiele, Reddy, Schwab, Ling, Garg, Chandra, Sinhal, Casale, Banerjee, Khanna, Hillegass, Varghese, Satler, Strasser, Biederman, Shavelle, Valente, Lefevre, Kaluski, Carozza Jr.. Weeks. Bush. Saligrama, Bingi. Talwar, Diebele

DSMB

Eric Bates, David Holmes, Richard Trout

Global Coordinating Center

Duke Clinical Research Institute
Pam Monds, Project Lead
Dorothy J Wagstaff, Lead Clinical Data Specialist

Joey Zhou, Huiman Barnhardt - StatisticianKaren Ramsey, Lead CRA

MRI Core Lab

The Heart Center Leipzig -University Hospital •Matthias Gutberlet – Director, Maren Redlich •Fabian Juhrich,

Regional Centers groups

Meredith Cooney, Flinders Coordinating Centre, Australia/NZ Lead
Tanya Fawcett, MAQUET CV, Europe Lead
Vaibhav S. Pawar, Jubilant Clinsys Ltd., India Lead

